# hukt on foniks

Learning to Read Encrypted VoIP Conversations Fabian Monrose

Satc PI meeting, 2012

PHONI

word construction

Learn

letters

test



THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL

# Voice over IP (VoIP)

- Popular replacement for traditional telephony
- Many free, or inexpensive, services available
  - very reliable
  - easy to use





#### **VoIP Security**

- Security and privacy implications still not well understood
- Two channels: *voice* and *control*
- Majority of security analyses focus on control channel
  - e.g., caller id spoofing, registration hijacking, denial of service



#### Information leakage

Overlooked interaction of two design decisions:

- compression: variable-bit-rate (VBR) codecs
  - compress different sounds with varying fidelity
- encryption: length-preserving stream ciphers



#### Information leakage



**Result: packet sizes reflect properties of the input signal** 

#### How bad is this leak?

#### •Sufficient to determine:



2007	<ul> <li>Wright et al.; Language identification of encrypted VoIP traffic: <i>Alejandra y Roberto or Alice and Bob?</i>, USENIX Security</li> </ul>
2008	<ul> <li>Wright et al., Spot me if you can: Uncovering spoken phrases in encrypted VoIP conversations, IEEE S&amp;P</li> </ul>
2009	Backes et al.: Speaker recognition in encrypted VoIP

Prior work did not take advantage of language-specific constraints or permitted sequences (i.e., "**phonotactics**")

streams, ESORICS, 2009.





 Infants use perceptual, social, and linguistic cues to segment the stream of sounds

- use learned knowledge of well-formedness
  - amazingly, infants learn these rudimentary constraints while simultaneously segmenting words
- use familiar words (e.g., their own name, "mama," etc) to identify new words in a stream

Blanchard et al. *Modeling the contribution of phonotactic cues to the problem of word segmentation.* Journal of Child Language, 2010. Bortfeld et al. *Mommy and me: Familiar names* help launch babies into speech-stream segmentation. **Psychological Science**, 2005.



NSF SaTC Meeting, 2012

#### Step 1: phonetic segmentation



IPA Pronunciation of the phrase "an official deadline"

Observation: frame sizes differ in response to phoneme transitions

#### Step 2: phoneme classification



Observation: differing sounds are **encoded** at different bit rates (e.g., **Speex** codec only uses 9 different bit rates in narrow band mode; 21 bit rates in wide-band mode)

### Step 3: Word break insertion

Based on language-specific constraints on phoneme order

- Rock and roll! Encrypted VoIP Packets J D k ænd J O U I Corrected Phonemes J-D-k æ-n-d J-O-U-I Word Segments
- insert potential word breaks into impossible phonetic triplets
- + [Iŋw] ('blessing way')
- resolve invalid word beginning / endings
- + [zdr] ('eavesdrop')
- improvement: split resulting segments by dictionary search

Harrington et al. Word boundary identification from phoneme sequence constraints in automatic continuous speech recognition. Computational Linguistics, 1988.

### Stage 4: Word Matching

 Find closest pronunciation using an edit distance approach to infer articulatory distance between phonemes



**Vowels** characterized by tongue position and lip shape (height, backness, rounding)

**Consonants** characterized by restriction of airflow (**place, manner**)



#### Stage 4: Word Matching

#### (Or, how we spent the summer of 2011)



#### **Phonetic Edit Distance**

#### Evaluation

- 630 speakers, 8 major dialects of American English
- Score hypotheses using well-studied techniques for modeling the adequacy and fluency of a translation
- penalizes fragmentation by matching contiguous subsequences (i.e., fluency)



#### Hypotheses

SA2: "Don't ask me to carry an oily rag like that"	score
Don't asked me to carry an oily rag like that	0.98
Don't ask me to carry an oily rag like dark	0.82
Don't asked me to carry and oily rag like dark	0.80

#### **Context dependent results**

Reference Hypothesis	score
Change involves the displacement of form. Codes involves the displacement of aim.	0.57
Artificial intelligence is for real. Artificial intelligence is carry all.	0.49
Bitter unreasoning jealousy. Bitter unreasoning <mark>dignity</mark> .	0.47
Context independent results UNDERSTANDABLE GOOD/FLUENT	
	>
.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9	

METEOR Score Interpretation (Lavie, 2010)

Summary

credit: W. Diffie, S. Landau

- VoIP is here to stay. But, security and privacy issues should not be overlooked
  - quality of reconstructed transcripts better than expected
  - will improve with advancements in computational linguistics
  - We need stronger, **interdisciplinary**, partnerships in order to design more secure and efficient solutions

See: A. White, K. Snow, A. Matthews, F. Monrose. Phonotactic Reconstruction of Encrypted VoIP Conversations: hokt on foniks. IEEE Symposium on Security & Privacy, 2011.

## Ongoing Partnerships



- Closer partnership with Linguistics Department
  - •exploring new ways of computing **phonotactic probability** (w/ Elliott Moreton, Katherine Shaw, Jennifer Smith, Andrew White)
  - Linguists are interested in generating and rating new "blends"; many applications in Computer Security
- Great learning experience!
  - English is far more complex than I ever imagined
    - e.g., differences in written and spoken form (codas, onsets, nuclei, rhyme, etc.)
- •Strikingly different lab culture and research meeting practices